

3/30/77 [1]

Folder Citation: Collection: Office of Staff Secretary; Series: Presidential Files; Folder: 3/30/77
[1]; Container 13

To See Complete Finding Aid:

http://www.jimmycarterlibrary.gov/library/findingaids/Staff_Secretary.pdf

WITHDRAWAL SHEET (PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARIES)

FORM OF DOCUMENT	CORRESPONDENTS OR TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
Note w/att	From Rick Hutcheson To The President Re: Guest List for Sadat Dinner (13 pp.) # PAGES 206-150 1/18/13	3/30/77	A

FILE LOCATION

Carter Presidential Papers-Staff Offices , Office of Staff Sec.- Pres.
Handwriting File 3/30/77 [1] Box 14

RESTRICTION CODES

- (A) Closed by Executive Order 12356 governing access to national security information.
- (B) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the document.
- (C) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in the donor's deed of gift.

Revised:
3/30/77
8:00 a.m.

THE PRESIDENT'S SCHEDULE

Wednesday - March 30, 1977

8:30	Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski - The Oval Office.
9:30	Swearing In of Mr. Robert S. Strauss as Special Representative for Trade Negotiations and Mr. John J. Gilligan as Administrator of the Agency for International Development. The Rose Garden.
10:00	Mr. Frank Moore - The Oval Office.
10:30	Mr. Jody Powell - The Oval Office.
11:00	Meeting with the Selection Committee to Recommend Chairman, National Endowment for the Humanities. (Mr. Barry Jagoda) - The Cabinet Room.
11:45	Meeting with Governor J. James Exon. (Mr. Jack Watson) - The Oval Office.
1:30	Dr. Frank Press - The Oval Office.
2:30	Mr. Bill Milliken - The Oval Office.
6:00	Depart en route the Corcoran Gallery of Art.
6:00	Drop-By the National Women's Political Caucus Reception - The Corcoran Gallery of Art.

Nat Women Pol Caucus
Int Womens year
Abzug, Coretta Scott King
Betty Ford
Eleanor Holmes Norton
Human Rights
SALT
Reorganization
ERA
Welfare
Energy

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Jack Watson

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox. It is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.

Rick Hutcheson

Re: Reaffirming Cabinet-level
Committee Statement on the ILO

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI
	MONDALE
	COSTANZA
	EIZENSTAT
	JORDAN
	LIPSHUTZ
	MOORE
	POWELL
X	WATSON

*hand-
carry
to Jack*

	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
X	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

	ENROLLED BILL
	AGENCY BILL
	Staffing comments should go to Bert Carp within 48 hours; due from Carp to Staff Secretary next day.

	CAB DECISION
	EXECUTIVE ORDER
	Staffing comments should go to Doug Huron within 48 hours; due from Huron to Staff Secretary next day.

ACTION	FYI
	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
	KING
	KRAFT
	LANCE
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
	WELLS
	VOORDE

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Mr. President:

Jody had no comment. Stu disagrees with Jack, and his comments are attached. Some background information on the ILO is attached, in case you want further information about the ILO.

Rick

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM TO: THE PRESIDENT
FROM: Jack Watson *Jack*
RE: Reaffirming Cabinet-level Committee
Statement on the ILO

On behalf of Juanita, Cy and himself, Ray Marshall requests that you reaffirm our desire not to leave the ILO. I find Marshall's proposed statement by you awkward but support his idea. If you agree, we can work out a better format with Jody.

Agree _____

Disagree _____

Hold
J

**Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes**

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

WASHINGTON

March 23, 1977

177 lines

12 11

MEMORANDUM

TO: Jack Watson, Assistant to the President for
Intergovernmental Affairs

FROM: Ray Marshall, Secretary of Labor *Ray Marshall*

SUBJ: White House Press Release on the International
Labor Organization

At the March 15, 1977 meeting of the Cabinet Level Committee on the International Labor Organization (ILO), we agreed that it would assist our efforts to reform the ILO to have the President indicate his support of the attached "Joint Statement by the Secretaries of State, Labor and Commerce" dated February 16, 1977.

By November 5, 1977, the U.S. must decide whether to withdraw from the ILO. This will be a tripartite decision by the Government, the AFL-CIO and the Chamber of Commerce. As we draw near that date, there is considerable speculation that the new Administration is planning to modify its current position. Recent comments by British Prime Minister Callaghan to both the President and the Vice President are evidence of this position. This speculation seriously jeopardizes our chances of bringing about meaningful reform in the ILO.

I suggest that the President indicate his support of the existing position by reissuing the statement of February 16th, preceded by the following sentence:

"To affirm the importance of the Joint Statement of February 16, 1977 by the Secretaries of State, Labor and Commerce on the subject of the ILO, the President is releasing that statement as his own."

The recommendation to request a Presidential endorsement of the statement of February 16th was unanimously supported by all members of the Cabinet Level Committee. I would be grateful if you would take the necessary steps to implement this recommendation. Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Attachment

NEWS

United States

Department
of Labor

Office of Information

Washington, D.C. 20210

USOL--77-147

CONTACT:

OFFICE:

AFTER HOURS:

Don Avery

(202) 523-6251

(702) 549-7490

FOR RELEASE:

Immediate

Wednesday, Feb. 16, 1976

Joint Statement by the Secretaries of State, Labor and Commerce

The question of U.S. relations with the ILO remains a matter of high priority and will remain under continuing review by a Cabinet Level Committee where, we hope, the AFL-CIO and the Chamber of Commerce will continue to play active roles.

Because of dissatisfaction in the U.S. Government and among labor and industry leaders with a number of unfortunate trends in the ILO, the U.S. submitted a letter on November 5, 1975 giving the required two-year notice of intent to withdraw from the Organization. In that letter, it was stated:

"The U.S. does not desire to leave the ILO. The U.S. does not expect to do so. But we do intend to make every possible effort to promote the conditions which will facilitate our continued participation. If this should prove impossible, we are in fact prepared to depart".

Those views are no less valid today. They will guide our actions and our ultimate decision in the critical months ahead.

#

THE WHITE HOUSE


WASHINGTON

March 29, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR:

THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

STU EIZENSTAT 

SUBJECT:

Jack Watson Memo re Reaffirming Cabinet-level
Committee Statement on the ILO

1. There is nothing in the Ray Marshall memoranda which indicate the reason the President should make a statement on the ILO at this time. It seems to me that any statement at this time would seem as if it were gratuitous and "out of the blue," since we have until November 5, 1977 to make a decision.

2. If there is a need for a statement at this time in order to improve our ability to reform the ILO, it seems to me that it ought to be in the form of a separate statement from the President to the President of the ILO summarizing the substance of the joint statement by the Secretaries, rather than re-issuing a statement by the Secretaries, "as his own."

BACKGROUND ON ILO

INTERNATIONAL LABOR ORGANIZATION - B A C K G R O U N D

The ILO is the oldest of the UN Specialized Agencies. The US has been a member since 1934.

The ILO is not a purely governmental organization, but is tripartite with independent representation from labor and management. Over the years, it has developed international labor standards, frequently in the form of conventions which, when adopted by a government, become treaty obligations.

ILO membership has expanded rapidly in the post-war period, and now amounts to 134. In the last ten years, the ILO has gradually developed a pattern of violating its own principles and procedures, and this has caused increasing difficulties in its relationship with the US.

In 1975, the US Government, US Chamber of Commerce, and the AFL-CIO gave two years notice of intent to withdraw from the Organization. A Cabinet Level Committee was also established to work on the problem in 1975.

Significant progress has been made in the last year and a half. Nonetheless, the issue of continued US participation is still in question, as major matters relating to the ILO's basic principles will be considered at the June, 1977 ILO Conference.

The Cabinet Level Committee will make a recommendation to the President on continued membership later this year.

The press release proposed by Secretary Marshall repeats the language of the notice of intent to withdraw issued in 1975: that the US does not wish to leave the ILO, but is prepared to if the situation does not improve.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Date: March 25, 1977

MEMORANDUM

FOR ACTION:

Stu Eizenstat - re on it
Rea/body ^{US} ~~after~~ - ~~unlike~~ ~~with~~ ~~Att~~ ~~Gen~~

FOR INFORMATION:

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

SUBJECT: Jack Watson memo re Reaffirming Cabinet-level
Committee Statement on the ILO

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED
TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DAY: Monday

DATE: March 28, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

☒ Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

☐ I concur.

☐ No comment.

Please note other comments below:

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM TO: THE PRESIDENT
FROM: Jack Watson *Jack*
RE: Reaffirming Cabinet-level Committee
Statement on the ILO

On behalf of Juanita, Cy and himself, Ray Marshall requests that you reaffirm our desire not to leave the ILO. I find Marshall's proposed statement by you awkward but support his idea. If you agree, we can work out a better format with Jody.

Agree _____

Disagree _____

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
WASHINGTON

March 23, 1977

1977 MAR 24 5:12 PM

MEMORANDUM

TO: Jack Watson, Assistant to the President for
Intergovernmental Affairs

FROM: Ray Marshall, Secretary of Labor *Ray Marshall*

SUBJ: White House Press Release on the International
Labor Organization

At the March 15, 1977 meeting of the Cabinet Level Committee on the International Labor Organization (ILO), we agreed that it would assist our efforts to reform the ILO to have the President indicate his support of the attached "Joint Statement by the Secretaries of State, Labor and Commerce" dated February 16, 1977.

By November 5, 1977, the U.S. must decide whether to withdraw from the ILO. This will be a tripartite decision by the Government, the AFL-CIO and the Chamber of Commerce. As we draw near that date, there is considerable speculation that the new Administration is planning to modify its current position. Recent comments by British Prime Minister Callaghan to both the President and the Vice President are evidence of this position. This speculation seriously jeopardizes our chances of bringing about meaningful reform in the ILO.

I suggest that the President indicate his support of the existing position by reissuing the statement of February 16th, preceded by the following sentence:

"To affirm the importance of the Joint Statement of February 16, 1977 by the Secretaries of State, Labor and Commerce on the subject of the ILO, the President is releasing that statement as his own."

The recommendation to request a Presidential endorsement of the statement of February 16th was unanimously supported by all members of the Cabinet Level Committee. I would be grateful if you would take the necessary steps to implement this recommendation. Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Attachment



Department
of Labor



Office of Information

Washington, D.C. 20210

USOL--77-147

CONTACT: Don Avery
OFFICE: (202) 523-6251
AFTER HOURS: (702) 549-7490

FOR RELEASE: Immediate
Wednesday, Feb. 16, 1975

Joint Statement by the Secretaries of State, Labor and Commerce

The question of U.S. relations with the ILO remains a matter of high priority and will remain under continuing review by a Cabinet Level Committee where, we hope, the AFL-CIO and the Chamber of Commerce will continue to play active roles.

Because of dissatisfaction in the U.S. Government and among labor and industry leaders with a number of unfortunate trends in the ILO, the U.S. submitted a letter on November 5, 1975 giving the required two-year notice of intent to withdraw from the Organization. In that letter, it was stated:

"The U.S. does not desire to leave the ILO. The U.S. does not expect to do so. But we do intend to make every possible effort to promote the conditions which will facilitate our continued participation. If this should prove impossible, we are in fact prepared to depart".

Those views are no less valid today. They will guide our actions, and our ultimate decision in the critical months ahead.

2 4 2

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Done, Watson

Reaffirming Cabinet

*Level Comm
Statement on
Tide On*

ACTION	FYI
	MONDALE
	COSTANZA
X	EIZENSTAT
	JORDAN
	LIPSHUTZ
	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON

X	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

	ENROLLED BILL
	AGENCY BILL
	Staffing comments should go to Bert Carp within 48 hours; due from Carp to Staff Secretary next day.

	CAB DECISION
	EXECUTIVE ORDER
	Staffing comments should go to Doug Huron within 48 hours; due from Huron to Staff Secretary next day.

ACTION	FYI
	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
	KING
	KRAFT
	LANCE
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
	WELLS
	VOORDE

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

The Vice President
Bob Lipshutz

**The attached was returned in
the President's outbox. It is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.**

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Z. Brzezinski

Re: Four International Human
Rights Treaties and Covenants

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 28, 1977

Bob a) My suggestion is
that we have general
reservation "Subject
to U.S. Constitution" &
add specific reservations
that conflict w US Law.
b) Let V.P. handle
this - J
(with you)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ROBERT J. LIPSHUTZ

SUBJECT: Four International Human Rights Treaties
and Covenants

Pursuant to my earlier memorandums to you, we now have received final drafts of the alternative "reservations" to each of them.

Attached at TAB 1 are the proposed "general reservations" to these four treaties, providing that they should be subject to the United States Constitution and laws, and also including provisions that the treaties are not self-executing; in addition, where necessary, they provide for progressive achievements of their goals and disclaim provisions that could permit discriminatory treatment of U.S. nationals by other states.

Attached at TAB 2 are the more conventional "specific reservations."

As requested, the Department of State has submitted the "pros and cons" of the "general reservations" form of signature and ratification, stating them as follows:

Pro

1. Simplicity. This reservation has the virtue of simplicity, and will be easier for the Senate and public to understand.
2. Avoidance of burdensome detail. The simpler reservation might be helpful in avoiding any possible impression that the Administration is qualifying its support of the treaties by burdening them with detailed reservations.

3. Avoidance of inconsistencies with U. S. law. The "subject to" reservation clearly avoids any possibilities of conflict between the treaties and U. S. law.
4. Not illegal. The general reservation is not illegal under United States law or under international law.

Con

1. Unacceptability to other signatories. The "subject to" reservation may be deemed by some other parties to the treaties to render our adherence to the treaties invalid on the ground that such a broad reservation for domestic law is incompatible with the objective of the treaties.
2. Reciprocity. Since any reservation we make can be invoked against us by any other party, if the United States takes a "subject to" reservation and later complains of a violation of one of these treaties by another party, that party would be entitled to reply that the action complained of is in accordance with its law.
3. The Helsinki Accords. A prime issue in the negotiation of the Helsinki accords was the Soviet demand that its undertakings be subject to Soviet law. The other members, including the United States, refused.
4. Uncertainty. A reservation as broad as the proposed "subject to" reservation could create considerable doubt here and abroad as to what effect the treaties are intended to have in the U. S.
5. Lack of precedent. The United States apparently has never entered a general "subject to" reservation to any treaty; and no other state appears to have made such a reservation in respect to these three treaties. (The Canadian reference in our earlier meeting was to the fact that Canada made no reservations whatever.)

Ratification of these treaties in either form is legal, both under United States and international law.

There are, however, differences in judgment between and among those of us who have been working on this matter as to the better form in which to proceed.

Therefore, because of the importance of handling this matter, both to ensure ratification by the Senate and to get maximum effect, both domestically and internationally, I would suggest that you schedule a meeting so that the different points of view can be discussed with you before you make a final decision. I would suggest that you include in this discussion the following:

Vice President Mondale
Z. Brzezinski (or designee)
Cyrus Vance " "
Griffin Bell " "
Frank Moore
Hamilton Jordan
Robert Lipshutz

Please advise what action you wish to take at this time.

Attachments

PROPOSED RESERVATION TO THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION
ON THE PREVENTION AND PUNISHMENT OF THE CRIME OF
GENOCIDE

The United States ratifies this Convention subject to the Constitution and laws of the United States. This Convention is not self-executing.

Note: The Senate Foreign Relations Committee in previous years has recommended that the Senate adopt several "understandings" construing various provisions of the Genocide Convention. These understandings could be added to the above reservation, if desired.

PROPOSED RESERVATION TO THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION
ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

The United States ratifies this Convention subject to the Constitution and laws of the United States. This Convention is not self-executing.

PROPOSED RESERVATION TO THE INTERNATIONAL COVENANT
ON ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, AND CULTURAL RIGHTS

The United States ratifies this Covenant subject to the Constitution and laws of the United States. This Covenant, which is not self-executing, is understood by the United States, in Articles 1-15, to enumerate goals to be achieved progressively, rather than through immediate implementation. Nothing in this Covenant entitles or permits states to fail to fulfill their equal international legal responsibilities without discrimination.

RESERVATIONS TO THE
INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON GENOCIDE

1. That the United States Government understands and construes the words "intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial, or religious group as such" appearing in article II, to mean the intent to destroy a national, ethnical, racial, or religious group by the acts specified in article II in such manner as to affect a substantial part of the group concerned.
2. That the United States Government understands and construes the words "mental harm" appearing in article II (b) of this Convention to mean permanent impairment of mental faculties.
3. That the United States Government understands and construes article VI of the Convention in accordance with the agreed language of the Report of the Legal Committee of the United Nations General Assembly that nothing in article VI shall affect the right of any State to bring to trial before its own tribunals any of its nationals for acts committed outside of the United States.
4. That the United States Government declares that it will not deposit its instrument of ratification until after the implementing legislation referred to in article V has been enacted.

Form II

RESERVATIONS TO THE
INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION
OF ALL FORMS OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

1. With respect to Article 4(a) and (b): The Constitution of the United States and Article 5 of this Convention contain provisions for the protection of individual rights, including the right to free speech, and nothing in this Convention shall be deemed to require or to authorize legislation or other action by the United States which would restrict the right of free speech protected by the Constitution, laws and practice of the United States.
2. The United States understands its obligation to enact legislation and take other measures under Articles 1(1), 2, 3, and 5 to extend only to governmental or government-assisted activities or to private activities which are substantial in nature and which are available to or open to the public, as defined by the Constitution and laws of the United States.
3. With respect to Articles 2(1)(a) and (c), 4(c), and 6: The United States shall implement all the provisions of the Covenant over whose subject matter the Federal Government exercises legislative and judicial jurisdiction; with respect to the provisions over whose subject matter constituent units exercise jurisdiction, the Federal Government shall take appropriate measures, to the end that the competent authorities of the constituent units may take appropriate measures for the fulfillment of this Covenant.
4. The United States declares that the provisions of Articles 1 - 7 of this Convention are not self-executing.

RESERVATIONS TO THE
INTERNATIONAL COVENANT ON ECONOMIC, SOCIAL
AND CULTURAL RIGHTS

1. The United States understands Article 2(1) as establishing that the provisions of Articles 1 - 15 of this Covenant describe goals to be achieved progressively rather than through immediate implementation.
2. With respect to Article 5(1): The Constitution of the United States and Article 19 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights contain provisions for the protection of individual rights, including the right to free speech, and nothing in this Covenant shall be deemed to require or to authorize legislation or other action by the United States which would restrict the right of free speech protected by the Constitution, laws, and practice of the United States.
3. With respect to Article 28: The United States shall progressively implement all the provisions of the Covenant over whose subject matter the Federal Government exercises legislative and judicial jurisdiction; with respect to the provisions over whose subject matter constituent units exercise jurisdiction, the Federal Government shall take appropriate measures, to the end that the competent authorities of the constituent units may take appropriate measures for the fulfillment of this Covenant.
4. With respect to Articles 2(3) and 25, the United States declares that nothing in the Covenant derogates from the equal obligation of all states to fulfill their responsibilities under international law.
5. The United States declares that the provisions of Articles 1 - 15 of this Covenant are not self-executing.

Form II

RESERVATIONS TO THE
INTERNATIONAL COVENANT ON CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS

1. With respect to Articles 20 and 5(1): The Constitution of the United States and Article 19 of this Covenant contain provisions for the protection of individual rights, including the right of free speech, and nothing in this Covenant shall be deemed to require or to authorize legislation or other action by the United States which would restrict the right of free speech protected by the Constitution, laws, and practice of the United States.
2. With respect to Article 50: The United States shall implement all the provisions of the Covenant over whose subject matter the Federal Government exercises legislative and judicial jurisdiction; with respect to the provisions over whose subject matter constituent units exercise jurisdiction, the Federal Government shall take appropriate measures, to the end that the competent authorities of the constituent units may take appropriate measures for the fulfillment of this Covenant.
3. The United States does not adhere to Article 9(5) or to the third clause of Article 15(1).
4. The United States considers the rights enumerated in Articles 10(2) and 10(3) as goals to be achieved progressively rather than through immediate implementation.
5. The United States declares that the right referred to in Article 47 may be exercised only in accordance with international law.
6. The United States declares that the provisions of Articles 1 - 27 of this Covenant are not self-executing.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI
X	MONDALE
	COSTANZA
	EIZENSTAT
	JORDAN
X	LIPSHUTZ
	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON

FOR STAFFING
FOR INFORMATION
X FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

ENROLLED BILL
AGENCY BILL
Staffing comments
should go to Bert
Carp within 48
hours; due from
Carp to Staff
Secretary next day.

CAB DECISION
EXECUTIVE ORDER
Staffing comments
should go to Doug
Huron within 48
hours; due from
Huron to Staff
Secretary next day.

ACTION	FYI
	ARAGON
	BOURNE
X	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
	KING
	KRAFT
	LANCE
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
	WELLS
	VOORDE

Briefing - Congress
& news on Moscow
SALT talks

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

3-30-77

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Reductions 1800/2000 & 1100/1200
Pol/Strategic Parity & Stability
Reduction - Launchers & MIRVs
" Heavy missiles ^{MLBM} _{SS9 & SS-18} _{SS17, 18, 19}
Freeze - Develop & Deploy ICBM
Ban - mobiles SS16, MX
Strict limit - Backfire & CM's ₂₅₀₀
Limit test flights - 6 each
Differentiate SS20 & SS16
Civil defense
Discuss freeze strategic systems
Verification - concealment
Data base

Alternative:

Ratify Vladivostok -
defer Backfire & CM

Defense - Joint Chiefs - agree

- ✓ Anti-satellite
- ✓ CTB, incl PNE's
- ✓ Indian Ocean
- ✓ Advance notice test firing
- ✓ Arms control
- ✓ Non-proliferation
MBFR
- ✓ Radiological
- ✓ Civil Defense

Geneva - May

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Hamilton Jordan

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox. It is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Jim King

Re: Otis A. Singletary &
National Endowment for the
Humanities

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI
	MONDALE
	COSTANZA
	EIZENSTAT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	JORDAN
	LIPSHUTZ
	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON

	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

	ENROLLED BILL
	AGENCY BILL
	Staffing comments should go to Bert Carp within 48 hours; due from Carp to Staff Secretary next day.

	CAB DECISION
	EXECUTIVE ORDER
	Staffing comments should go to Doug Huron within 48 hours; due from Huron to Staff Secretary next day.

ACTION	FYI
	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	KING
	KRAFT
	LANCE
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
	WELLS
	VOORDE

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

BIOGRAPHICAL STATEMENT

Otis A. Singletary

PERSONAL:

Born Gulfport, Mississippi, October 31, 1921, son of Mr. and Mrs. Otis Arnold Singletary. Married Gloria Walton, June 6, 1944. Three children: Bonnie (5/13/45), Scot (7/10/56), and Kendall (6/18/58).

EDUCATION:

Millsaps College (B.A. 1947); Louisiana State University (M.A. 1949, Ph.D. 1954).

HONORS:

LL.D. Yankton College, 1964; Moncado Award (1955) of American Military Institute for manuscript, Negro Militia and Reconstruction; Teaching Excellence Award from Students' Association, University of Texas, 1958 and 1959; Scarbrough Teaching Excellence Award, University of Texas, 1959. Carnegie Academic Administrator Grant, 1961 Spring Semester.

POSITIONS HELD:

Instructor, Extension Division, LSU, 1949-51; Instructor, Navy Supply Corps School, Bayonne, N.J., 1951-52; Instructor, NROTC Unit, Princeton University 1952-54; University of Texas, 1954-61 (Instructor 1954-56, Assistant Professor 1957-58, Associate Professor 1959, Professor 1960. Associate Dean of Arts and Sciences, 1956-59, Assistant to the President, 1960-61); Chancellor, the University of North Carolina at Greensboro, 1961-66; Chancellor-on-leave to serve as Director of The Job Corps, Office of Economic Opportunity, Washington, D. C., October, 1964-January, 1966; Vice-President, American Council on Education, 1966-68; Executive Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs, University of Texas System, 1968-69; President, University of Kentucky, 1969 -- .

HONOR AND PROFESSIONAL SOCIETY AFFILIATIONS:

American Historical Association, Southern Historical Association, North Carolina Historical Association, American Military Institute.

MAIN PROFESSIONAL OFFICES:

Director of the Superior Student Program and Advisor to the Junior Fellows in the College of Arts and Sciences, the University of Texas; Regional Chairman (Texas and Louisiana) Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation; member, Administrative Committee of the Southern Fellowship Fund; Director, Honors Program, University of Texas; Associate Editor, Southwestern Historical Quarterly; Chairman, N. C. Committee on Selection of Rhodes Scholars, 1964, 1965 and 1966, Ky. Committee, 1970, 1971, 1973, and 1974; Member of Board of

BIOGRAPHICAL STATEMENT
Otis A. Singletary

Page 2

MAIN PROFESSIONAL OFFICES (continued):

Directors of American Association for Higher Education, Educational Change, Inc., Southern Regional Education Board, Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland; Chairman, Department of Army Historical Advisory Committee; Board of Visitors, Air University, Maxwell AFB; Board of Directors, Anchor Hocking Corporation, Dana Corporation

PUBLICATIONS:

Books: Negro Militia and Reconstruction. The Mexican War. Edited American Universities and Colleges, 10th Edition.

Monographs: The South in American History (Service Center for Teachers of American History, American Historical Association), Civil War and Reconstruction (1960 Yearbook, National Council of the Social Sciences), Freedom and Order on Campus (American Council on Education, 1968), Higher Education in the South: A Contemporary View (The South Atlantic Quarterly, Vol. LXVIII, No. 1, Winter, 1969).

Articles in following: Military Affairs, Louisiana Historical Quarterly, Arkansas Historical Quarterly, Southwestern Historical Quarterly, Texas Quarterly.

MILITARY SERVICE:

U.S. Navy, World War II, Korean Conflict, Commander, U.S. Naval Reserve.

HONORARY AND SOCIAL FRATERNITIES AND CLUBS:

Phi Beta Kappa, Phi Alpha Theta, Phi Delta Kappa, Omicron Delta Kappa; Pi Kappa Alpha; Headliners Club, Cosmos Club, Rotary Club.

LISTINGS:

Who's Who in America; Who's Who in American Education; Who's Who in Kentucky; Who's Who in the South & Southwest; Presidents and Deans of American Colleges and Universities; Personalities of the South; Directory of American Scholars, Leaders in Education.

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

130 P.M.

March 30, 1977

Dear Mr. President:

I would like to meet with you for a few minutes today to discuss the proposed Environmental Message. I understand that you are concerned that stories distorting certain aspects of the Message have appeared in the press recently. I share your concern about these stories, and I want to assure you of my belief that no one at CEQ was responsible for the leaks.


CEQ has been working to prepare your Environmental Message for approximately the past six weeks. Our efforts have been consistent with the approach set out in Stu Eizenstat's memo to you of February 8, 1977, copy attached as Tab A. In the course of developing the Message we have circulated early outlines and drafts to interested federal agencies for comment. Our objective has been to develop a Message that was fully staffed-out and that reflected the expertise and commitment of your Cabinet and senior advisors. Unfortunately, the price we have paid for this is premature and totally inaccurate news stories, particularly with respect to off-road vehicles and bottle disposal taxes.

The Message has been developed with the cooperation of many federal agencies, and it has broad agency support. It is now ready for final White House review. Only a few issues remain to be resolved, and that process should be completed within the next couple of days. I believe it is a comprehensive, important

**Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes**

document that will be well received by the Congress and the American people. I have attached a brief outline of it as Tab B. I look forward to discussing it with you.

Respectfully,


Charles Warren
Chairman

Honorable Jimmy Carter
The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

cc: Honorable Walter Mondale
Honorable Hamilton Jordan
Honorable Stuart Eizenstat

Attachments

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

TAB A

February 8, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR

THE PRESIDENT

FROM

STU EIZENSTAT *Stu*

SUBJECT:

Proposal for Early
Environmental Message

I recommend that you ask CEQ to coordinate preparation of an Environmental Message to be presented in early or mid-March. Your initial environmental program will largely reflect budget and legislative decisions which will have been made by early March. Rounded out with an overall environmental perspective and some additional executive and legislative initiatives, packaging the program as an Environmental Message will allow you to have maximum leadership and substantive impact with your environmental proposals.

Charles Warren would like to take the lead, and Doug Costle and I agree that this is appropriate.

The Message would discuss your key environmental themes, outline the first year's environmental program (both executive and legislative), and provide a timetable for action.

The Message would be accompanied by specific back-up in the form of legislative recommendations, Executive Orders, target dates, and "marching orders" for completing policy initiatives, reviews and task forces.

There are many reasons for an early Message in this area:

- the Message would spur action on the Hill and in the agencies and demonstrate commitment to the public;
- there has not been an Environmental Message since 1973, thus there is a clear contrast with the previous Administration;

- the absence of a major environmental speech during the campaign was interpreted by many as a reflection of low priority;
- the lateness of the EPA and CEQ appointments has enhanced that perception of low priority; and
- it would be wise to establish the environmental priority and commitment before laying out the energy policy and program.

The Message would set a global survival context for environmental quality concerns but would be tied to specific initiatives in the following areas:

I. Covered in Budget

- a. water resources reforms
- b. parks and wildlife
- c. adequate funding and staffing for the Environmental Protection Agency

II. Already Moving in Congress

- a. strip mine bill
- b. Outer Continental Shelf leasing bill
- c. Clean Air Act
- d. Clean Water Act
- e. oil spill legislation

III. New Initiatives

- a. National Heritage Trust
- b. moving pending Trail, Wild & Scenic River and Wilderness proposals
- c. revision of Mining Law of 1872
- d. other ideas being assembled by CEQ and others

To get this process going, I would suggest that at the same time Charles Warren's nomination is sent to Congress, you announce that you have asked him to prepare an environmental message to be ready in early March.

_____ Approve _____ Do not approve _____ Let's discuss

OUTLINE OF PROPOSED ENVIRONMENTAL MESSAGE

I. Introduction

A general statement of your concern for environmental quality and your broad objectives in this area. The consistency between the Administration's environmental and economic goals is stressed.

II. Controlling Pollution and Protecting Health

This section describes actions you have taken or propose to take with respect to air and water pollution, resource recovery, pesticides, toxic substances, and the workplace environment.

III. Improving the Urban Environment

This section focuses on your commitment to improve the environment where most of our people live.

IV. Energy and the Environment

Here you discuss the importance of developing environmentally acceptable energy systems and propose a number of initiatives with respect to the environmental aspects of coal, OCS oil and gas, and nuclear power. As with all portions of the proposed Message, this section has been developed in close cooperation with other interested agencies and in this case with the White House Office of Energy Policy and Planning.

V. Protecting Natural Resources

You propose a variety of initiatives involving water resources policy reform, wetlands, hardrock mining, forest management and off-road vehicles

VI. Preserving our National Heritage

You discuss your park program, propose a number of new wilderness areas, national trails, and wild and scenic rivers, direct a study toward the development of a National Heritage Trust proposal, and announce several wildlife protection measures.

VII. The Global Environment

A brief discussion of global environmental issues, including world population, followed by proposals to protect whales and the Antarctic environment and to introduce environmental factors into AID's assistance programs.

VIII. Improving Government

A collection of proposals and initiatives designed to improve coordination and efficiency of various environmental planning and review requirements, including the environmental impact statement process. This section stresses the need to reduce paperwork, streamline governmental processes, and make our regulatory efforts less burdensome.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Peter Bourne -

The attached was returned in the
President's outbox.

You should get Jim Fallows comments
on any statement before submitting to
the President.

Rick Hutcheson

Re: Drug Message

cc: Jim Fallows
Jody Powell

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

*Note to Peter - you should get Jim
Fallows comments on any state-
ment before submitting to
The President*

ACTION	FYI
<input type="checkbox"/>	MONDALE
<input type="checkbox"/>	COSTANZA
<input type="checkbox"/>	EIZENSTAT
<input type="checkbox"/>	JORDAN
<input type="checkbox"/>	LIPSHUTZ
<input type="checkbox"/>	MOORE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	POWELL
<input type="checkbox"/>	WATSON

<input type="checkbox"/>	ENROLLED BILL
<input type="checkbox"/>	AGENCY REPORT
<input type="checkbox"/>	CAB DECISION
<input type="checkbox"/>	EXECUTIVE ORDER

Comments due to
Carp/Huron within
48 hours; due to
Staff Secretary
next day

<input type="checkbox"/>	FOR STAFFING
<input type="checkbox"/>	FOR INFORMATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
<input type="checkbox"/>	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
<input type="checkbox"/>	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

<input type="checkbox"/>	ARAGON
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BOURNE
<input type="checkbox"/>	BRZEZINSKI
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUTLER
<input type="checkbox"/>	CARP
<input type="checkbox"/>	H. CARTER
<input type="checkbox"/>	CLOUGH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FALLOWS
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRST LADY
<input type="checkbox"/>	GAMMILL
<input type="checkbox"/>	HARDEN
<input type="checkbox"/>	HOYT
<input type="checkbox"/>	HUTCHESON
<input type="checkbox"/>	JAGODA
<input type="checkbox"/>	KING

<input type="checkbox"/>	KRAFT
<input type="checkbox"/>	LANCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	LINDER
<input type="checkbox"/>	MITCHELL
<input type="checkbox"/>	POSTON
<input type="checkbox"/>	PRESS
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. RAINWATER
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCHLESINGER
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCHNEIDERS
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCHULTZE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SIEGEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH
<input type="checkbox"/>	STRAUSS
<input type="checkbox"/>	WELLS
<input type="checkbox"/>	VOORDE

MEMORANDUM

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

*Peter ok - Let's
do both - with 2nd
more substantive,
of course -
J*

MEMORANDUM TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM: Peter Bourne *P.B.*

SUBJECT: Drug Message

This is in response to your memo of March 28th 1977.

There are two major options with regard to a drug message:

(1) A statement in the next two weeks outlining your policy positions with regard to the various categories of drugs and stating the strategy that we intend to pursue in the international, law enforcement, and demand reduction areas. We would highlight certain key new initiatives that we intend to carry out. We would not, however, at that time have any accomplishments to report, or any legislation to propose. I also am concerned about raising expectations inappropriately that we might not be able to fulfill.

(2) In 90 days I believe we could make a more comprehensive statement with some accomplishments to claim. For instance by then I believe the crop eradication program in Mexico will be showing some effects on the heroin supply on American streets. We also will have the reorganization study of drug law enforcement functions near completion. We should also have some specific legislation ready to submit to the congress.

We could do either of the above or a combination of the two. I recommend the latter keeping your initial statement low key, without predicting great success, but showing we are in control of the area with considerable activity underway. In 90 days we would send a comprehensive message to the congress at the same time reporting some initial accomplishments.

I will await your guidance.

PGB:ss

**Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes**

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Hamilton Jordan

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox. It is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.

Rick Hutcheson

Re: Ambassadorial Appointments

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI
--------	-----

	MONDALE
	COSTANZA
	EIZENSTAT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	JORDAN
	LIPSHUTZ
	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON

	ENROLLED BILL
	AGENCY REPORT
	CAB DECISION
	EXECUTIVE ORDER

Comments due to
Carp/Huron within
48 hours; due to
Staff Secretary
next day

	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
	KING

	KRAFT
	LANCE
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
	STRAUSS
	WELLS
	VOORDE

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.
THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE PRESIDENT
FROM: HAMILTON JORDAN

1. The first group of Ambassadors will be publicly announced today by Jody. It is predominately career appointments with several women and two minorities. It is a good group.
2. As you requested, I talked with Bill Scranton. His immediate problem is that it is difficult for him to take any assignment for the next several months because of family pressures. After four or five months, he would probably be interested in taking any of the several assignments we discussed, but I don't know if you and the Secretary are inclined to wait that long on these major posts.

①
—

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Stu Eizenstat -

The attached was returned in the
President's outbox and is sent to
you for your information.

Rick Hutcheson

Re; Passover Holiday

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI
	MONDALE
	COSTANZA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EIZENSTAT
	JORDAN
	LIPSHUTZ
	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON

<input type="checkbox"/>	ENROLLED BILL
<input type="checkbox"/>	AGENCY REPORT
<input type="checkbox"/>	CAB DECISION
<input type="checkbox"/>	EXECUTIVE ORDER
	Comments due to Carp/Huron within 48 hours; due to Staff Secretary next day

	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
	KING

	KRAFT
	LANCE
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
	STRAUSS
	WELLS
	VOORDE

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 29, 1977

MR. PRESIDENT:

I have planned to be out of town with my family this Friday through Sunday, April 1-3, for the Passover holiday.

I will leave a number where I can be reached.

Stu

Stu Eizenstat

ok

C
/

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Bob Lipshutz
Stu Eizenstat

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox. It is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.

Rick Hutcheson

Re: Judicial Review of CAB
Decisions

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI		
		MONDALE	ENROLLED BILL
		COSTANZA	AGENCY REPORT
	X	EIZENSTAT	CAB DECISION
		JORDAN	EXECUTIVE ORDER
	X	LIPSHUTZ	Comments due to
		MOORE	Carp/Huron within
		POWELL	48 hours; due to
		WATSON	Staff Secretary
			next day

	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
X	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

	ARAGON		KRAFT
	BOURNE		LANCE
	BRZEZINSKI		LINDER
	BUTLER		MITCHELL
	CARP		POSTON
	H. CARTER		PRESS
	CLOUGH		B. RAINWATER
	FALLOWS		SCHLESINGER
	FIRST LADY		SCHNEIDERS
	GAMMILL		SCHULTZE
	HARDEN		SIEGEL
	HOYT		SMITH
	HUTCHESON		STRAUSS
	JAGODA		WELLS
	KING		VOORDE

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 23, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR:

THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

BOB LIPSHUTZ *BL*
STU EIZENSTAT *Stu*

SUBJECT:

Judicial Review of CAB
Decisions; Prepared at
your Request

Your note on our memo relating to a CAB order concerning Pan American raised a question about judicial review of CAB decisions. We recommend that such review be preserved. *I agree*

The President's review authority is limited to CAB decisions involving foreign routes. Because the President may approve or disapprove some routes for foreign policy reasons, foreign cases (unlike domestic cases) have been immune to review by the courts.

In June 1976 President Ford issued an Executive Order designed to keep excessive lobbying out of the Presidential review process. The order also provided that the President would indicate when his approval of a foreign route order was not based on foreign policy, thereby making judicial review possible, just as it is in domestic cases.

We believe that the Executive Order's provision for judicial review was a positive step. Court review is a basic right and should be preserved unless there exist compelling reasons to abandon it, e.g., foreign policy considerations which courts are not equipped to evaluate.

If you disagree, the Executive Order should be modified. We recommend, however, that the present system be maintained.

✓

Maintenance of Present System

APPROVE _____ DISAPPROVE

J
Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

3/21/77
72-100000-100000

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 17, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: BOB LIPSHUTZ *RL*
STU EIZENSTAT *by Bob Lipshutz*

RE: CAB Decision Re Pan American

This CAB decision gives Pan American authority to add service to Bangor, Maine on two of its routes. The interested agencies, including State and NSC, have no objections; nor have they identified any foreign policy considerations affecting this order.

We recommend that you (1) approve the attached order, and (2) sign the attached letter stating that no foreign policy considerations underlie your decision, so that the possibility of judicial review is preserved.

↑
*Sta. How can
we stop this (2)?*

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Jim Schlesinger:

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox. It is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Jim Fallows
Barry Jagoda
Stu Eizenstat

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI
	MONDALE
	COSTANZA
X	EIZENSTAT
	JORDAN
	LIPSHUTZ
	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON

	ENROLLED BILL
	AGENCY REPORT
	CAB DECISION
	EXECUTIVE ORDER
	Comments due to Carp/Huron within 48 hours; due to Staff Secretary next day

	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
X	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
X	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
X	JAGODA
	KING

	KRAFT
	LANCE
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
X	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
	STRAUSS
	WELLS
	VOORDE

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

LAW OFFICES OF
BOWMER, COURTNEY, BURLESON & PEMBERTON

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

FIRST FEDERAL BUILDING

P. O. BOX 844

TEMPLE, TEXAS 76501

AREA CODE 817

778-1354

March 23, 1977

JIM D. BOWMER
WILLIAM R. COURTNEY
BOB BURLESON
STANTON B. PEMBERTON
KAREN MAEDGEN NEELEY
GEOFFREY A. FITZGERALD

P. mix
BRANCH OFFICE
FIRST FEDERAL SOUTHWEST
SOUTH 31ST STREET AT
LOOP 363

TOM NORMAND

To Schlesinger

cc!

*Jim, Barry
She-*

*As we prepare 4/20 speech,
these are good points - Visual
Charts, etc -*

J

Mr. Charles Kirbo
King & Spalding
2500 Trust Company Tower
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dear Mr. Kirbo:

I enclose a few notes about President Carter's forthcoming energy speech to the American people.

You can pass them along or throw them away, as you deem best.

I think the President is doing a fine job.

I realize the enclosed notes are not an orderly presentation but more in the nature of random thoughts.

Your friend,


Jim D. Bowmer

JDB:bjk

Encl.

**Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes**

NOTES ON PRESIDENT CARTER'S FORTHCOMING

ENERGY SPEECH

(1) Length of speech.

The speech should be long enough to present the facts on which the conclusions are based, and not simply the conclusions alone. Perhaps it should even be a series of speeches or talks with the American people. While it seems to be the popular wisdom that people won't sit still for more than 15 or 30 minutes of anything, I do not believe that this is necessarily true. It has not been true of some great modern speakers. I am not suggesting that the President, like Nero, try to lock his viewers into the Coliseum so they have to listen, but I am suggesting that they will listen intently as long as it is well presented and is vital to their interest. It must be long enough to state the problem fully. Recently, when the Texas Constitution was being criticized for being too long, somebody asked a former Chief Justice how long a constitution ought to be, and he accurately replied, "As long as it needs to be." I think the same thing is true of President Carter's presentation on energy.

(2) It should be dramatized.

I watched the NBC election returns because of the large map which presented the states so graphically. Perhaps a map of the entire world could be designed to show where the various natural resources are located, America's percentage of ownership as compared to her percentage of use, etc.

Senator Mark Hatfield gives a great presentation on our country's world-resources-use. Perhaps this part of the program could be a dialogue with him and other parts with other people; certainly his material on this subject would be great for this part of the presentation whether he or the President gave it.

These facts are no surprise to other countries, but may surprise some Americans.

(3) While I realize it is devoted to energy, I think it should deal first of all with America's position on all natural resources and not simply on gas and oil, coal and alternative sources of energy. It could generalize and give the broad picture first and then get down to the specifics of energy as people generally understand the term.

(4) Energy Chart

I hope that the President will be able to develop, at some point, and possibly now, an "Energy Chart" that can relate the problem of waste to the problem of energy. For instance: From a

standpoint of the energy used in production, the President could show that ten thousand (a fictitious number, simply an example) discarded aluminum cans are the equivalent of saving one child from starvation for ten days if the cans were recycled; other energy problems could be related to production of crops, cattle, etc., as well as other sorts of production. It would be extremely helpful to see that the problems of waste, of not recycling, etc., are all a part of the on-going problem of energy in its larger sense.

(5) Both pro and con arguments recognized.

The President should recognize pro and con arguments, at least to some extent, in connection with his conclusions and the facts. For instance, I think that the President should recognize in his talk that the fear of environmentalists of excessive and improper coal mining or nuclear methods is a legitimate concern, and that the problem should be looked at as a whole, not pitting one side against another--as the Bible says, "Every man having his net out for his brother," and without looking for a scapegoat. Each "side" in the various energy arguments should be recognized as presenting its arguments with sincerity and with a degree of accuracy. It is the President's job to view the whole elephant and try to show it to us, but the views of the oil companies, the gas companies, the consumers, the states, the federal government, are all views of legitimate parts of the elephant, even if they don't necessarily take in the whole view.

(6) Balancing the budget of natural resources.

I think that the President should announce as the ultimate aim of our country and the world "a balanced budget of natural resources"--that is, the use of natural resources to be matched by their replenishment in one form or another, and that he should ask for the dedication of the American people toward that goal while we have the economic, political, and spiritual strength to do so.

I think that he should make it clear that the question really facing us is whether we should suffer a little in order that our children and grandchildren will not be impoverished.

(7) No simple answers.

The speech should recognize shady areas of knowledge--that is, that there are some people who say that our natural gas will be depleted in ten years, some in forty years, and perhaps some in two hundred years; but to say that we have a resource that will last even two hundred years is not to answer America's long-range problem. Likewise, I think that over-simplified answers, like permanent answers, should be avoided. For instance, the answer that if we can "make somebody else do thus and so," we will solve our problems, is simply no solution.

To sum up this point, however: the arguments about whether the reserves of this country are twenty year reserves or fifty year reserves are simply arguments about whether I will run out of gas or my child will run out of it. We should view our reserves thankfully, but only as an opportunity given us to develop other sources, and to change our present lifestyle where necessary, while we have the strength and energy to do so, but the reserves should not make any difference in our ultimate goals.

(8) Problem is world-wide.

As an extension of the preceding point, the speech should view the world as permanent and not as some temporary something to be used up, and should view the solution not in Mr. Macawber's terms ("something will turn up"--a phrase which I heard almost identically from the head of a large power company two or three years ago), but as a problem which must be solved world-wide with some permanent goals.

(9) Speech should use graphic terms.

All this should be done graphically. While there may have been many speeches by political figures during World War II about who was going to win, etc., the one which I remember most graphically was President Roosevelt's speech in which he said that we were going to manufacture 50,000 planes, because it was graphic.

(10) Should challenge the people.

I think we should be challenged to show the same dedication to these peaceful pursuits that the men and women of our country have shown toward the pursuits of war.

A good beginning might be to point out that we celebrated our 200th birthday last year by praising our forefathers--that we hope that 200 years from now (and there are children already born who will live more than half that time span), our children's children's children will praise us for a world unravaged and liveable, rather than one we depleted for our own comfort, and we can then look forward to that world. A good ending could set forth a number of personal challenges which government could help us meet voluntarily in addition to compulsory government programs--including a challenge to each county or other government subdivision to give some thought to developing "self sufficiency" programs in those limited areas where practicable.

(11) Fully informed people reach the right conclusions.

If the American people are told the truth in depth, as I am sure they will be, they will come to the right conclusions. This may take a number of speeches, as indicated above. I think it is up to the President and people to assert the leadership which will cause Congress to act correctly on the energy program. Probably this is as it should be. I think that some political figures view their own elections as the last intelligent act of the electorate. I am glad that the President is not of this view.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Jim Fallows -

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox. It is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.

Rick Hutcheson

Re: Foreword for National Urban
Coalition Book

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI
<input type="checkbox"/>	MONDALE
<input type="checkbox"/>	COSTANZA
<input type="checkbox"/>	EIZENSTAT
<input type="checkbox"/>	JORDAN
<input type="checkbox"/>	LIPSHUTZ
<input type="checkbox"/>	MOORE
<input type="checkbox"/>	POWELL
<input type="checkbox"/>	WATSON

<input type="checkbox"/>	ENROLLED BILL
<input type="checkbox"/>	AGENCY REPORT
<input type="checkbox"/>	CAB DECISION
<input type="checkbox"/>	EXECUTIVE ORDER
	Comments due to Carp/Huron within 48 hours; due to Staff Secretary next day

<input type="checkbox"/>	FOR STAFFING
<input type="checkbox"/>	FOR INFORMATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
<input type="checkbox"/>	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
<input type="checkbox"/>	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

<input type="checkbox"/>	ARAGON
<input type="checkbox"/>	BOURNE
<input type="checkbox"/>	BRZEZINSKI
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUTLER
<input type="checkbox"/>	CARP
<input type="checkbox"/>	H. CARTER
<input type="checkbox"/>	CLOUGH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FALLOWS
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRST LADY
<input type="checkbox"/>	GAMMILL
<input type="checkbox"/>	HARDEN
<input type="checkbox"/>	HOYT
<input type="checkbox"/>	HUTCHESON
<input type="checkbox"/>	JAGODA
<input type="checkbox"/>	KING

<input type="checkbox"/>	KRAFT
<input type="checkbox"/>	LANCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	LINDER
<input type="checkbox"/>	MITCHELL
<input type="checkbox"/>	POSTON
<input type="checkbox"/>	PRESS
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. RAINWATER
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCHLESINGER
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCHNEIDERS
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCHULTZE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SIEGEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	SMITH
<input type="checkbox"/>	STRAUSS
<input type="checkbox"/>	WELLS
<input type="checkbox"/>	VOORDE

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 29, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: JIM FALLOWS JMF

SUBJECT: Foreword for National Urban Coalition Book

Griffin Smith has prepared the attached Foreword for your signature. It is scheduled to appear in the National Urban Coalition's forthcoming book, "Report on America's Cities, 1976." Orin Kramer of the Domestic Staff assisted in the work.

We decided to prepare this draft in response to a letter from Mayor Harvey Sloane of Louisville. That letter is also attached for your information. If this is all right with you we will send it on.

THE FUTURE OF AMERICA'S CITIES

In the past ten years, many thoughtful people have felt deeply pessimistic about the prospects for our cities. But we have, I think, weathered the era when every grim prediction was automatically accepted as the truth. As difficult and as complex as the problems are, there is a growing feeling that we are capable of mastering them. The exact shape of the solution is still not clear, even to those who specialize in such things. But the time of panic is gradually giving way to a time of cautious optimism.

As America's number one economic problem, the cities are a proving ground for federalism. Government at all levels, by too often seeming out of reach or unworkable, has caused many people to feel that the conditions of their daily lives are beyond their ability to control. The way we handle the problems of our cities will be a test of ~~our government's~~ ^{our nation's} ability to respond to human needs. We need to work consciously toward a restoration of federalism -- a balanced ~~national~~ ^{at all levels of government} partnership, based on mutual trust, mutual respect, and mutual commitment to the future of our cities.

This effort must include policies that promote partnership between public and private sectors of the

and states -2-

community. Federal funds can be a valuable catalyst for the renewed private investment, ^{which} our cities need ^{in order} to prosper. We must also do more to give members of the community a direct voice in the decisions that affect their lives.

Another important element in any urban revitalization is the preservation of viable neighborhoods. Our existing city neighborhoods are rich national resources to be enhanced, not destroyed. The "urban crisis," in fact, is the common struggle of many neighborhoods in many cities to maintain and secure the amenities of life. The policy which understands this reality is the policy that will succeed.

^{early} Since the days of the Greeks, cities have filled a special need in human nature. They are places for the interchange of ideas as well as goods. The shapeless sprawl and confusion of our modern urban areas has often caused us to lose sight of what a community ought to be.

[But in the perspective of history, as Lewis Mumford has written, "the best economy of cities is the care and culture of men."]]

do not
use - now
sexist

#

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes



HARVEY I. SLOANE M.D.
MAYOR

City of Louisville Kentucky

Office of the Mayor

January 30, 1977

ACTION
T/D
SCHEDULE BD.
DATE RECEIVED

FEB 7 1977

INVOICE
SPEAKERS BUREAU
OTHER
APPOINTMENT OFFICE

President Jimmy Carter
Transition Planning Group
P. O. Box 2600
Washington, D. C. 20036

Attention: Fran Voorde

Dear President Carter:

The National Urban Coalition is planning a major publication titled, "Report on America's Cities, 1976." 1976 was indeed an important turning point in the future of America's cities. The Urban Coalition has invited urban experts, community leaders and members of their past and present Boards to contribute to this publication.

Mr. Carl Holman, President of the National Urban Coalition, has requested that I write to you to request that you take time from your most time consuming schedule to write a one page foreword to this volume. I understand that Dr. Larry Brown, Director of the Massachusetts Advocacy Center spoke with you about this during the Christmas Holidays.

Any consideration you could give to this request would be deeply appreciated.

Sincerely,

Harvey Sloane
Harvey Sloane
Mayor

HS/tt

cc: M. Carl Holman, National Urban Coalition
1201 Connecticut Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Frances P. Pappas
331-2469

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Frank Moore
Tim Kraft

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox. It is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Ham Jordan
The Vice President

Re: Congressional Meetings

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Tim Kraft -

This was hand-carried in by Frank.

Rick Hutcheson

Re: Congressional Meetings

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MONDALE
		COSTANZA
		EIZENSTAT
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	JORDAN
		LIPSHUTZ
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		MOORE
		POWELL
		WATSON

*Note to
Tim (only)
This was
hand carried in
by Frank
R. L.*

<input type="checkbox"/>	FOR STAFFING
<input type="checkbox"/>	FOR INFORMATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
<input type="checkbox"/>	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
<input type="checkbox"/>	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

<input type="checkbox"/>	ENROLLED BILL
<input type="checkbox"/>	AGENCY BILL
<input type="checkbox"/>	Staffing comments should go to Bert Carp within 48 hours; due from Carp to Staff Secretary next day.

<input type="checkbox"/>	CAB DECISION
<input type="checkbox"/>	EXECUTIVE ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/>	Staffing comments should go to Doug Huron within 48 hours; due from Huron to Staff Secretary next day.

ACTION	FYI	
		ARAGON
		BOURNE
		BRZEZINSKI
		BUTLER
		CARP
		H. CARTER
		CLOUGH
		FALLOWS
		FIRST LADY
		GAMMILL
		HARDEN
		HOYT
		HUTCHESON
		JAGODA
		KING
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		KRAFT
		LANCE
		LINDER
		MITCHELL
		POSTON
		PRESS
		B. RAINWATER
		SCHLESINGER
		SCHNEIDERS
		SCHULTZE
		SIEGEL
		SMITH
		WELLS
		VOORDE

ONE FILED UNDER MAR 1977

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

*Frank - All
of this is o.k.,
but work it out
with Tim -
J*

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: FRANK MOORE

At your request, I am submitting a series of proposals for scheduling time with Members of Congress. They will permit us to achieve three kinds of contact:

- A. Meetings with cross sections of members to discuss general topics.
- B. Meetings to discuss a specific subject or issue that will be coming up in the future.
- C. Meetings to discuss immediate considerations regarding legislation that is currently up for a vote.

-
1. Meetings with Democratic House members of state delegations. We could schedule six one-hour meetings with approximately 50 members, divided on a regional basis. There would be no specific topic of discussion. Your time with the group could be preceded by the Vice President, Members of the Cabinet, and members of the Senior staff. We should schedule two meetings next week, before the recess and the remaining four immediately after.

APPROVE _____

DISAPPROVE _____

**Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes**

2. Meetings or breakfasts with committees. These could discuss general topics with groups such as the Steering and Policy Committee and the Rules Committee, or specific subjects with committees and subcommittees that have interest in a particular area, such as the Budget Committee, Appropriations, and Ways and Means. These would serve to involve members in the development of administration programs.

APPROVE _____

DISAPPROVE _____

3. When meetings are scheduled with special interest groups, invite one or two members who are involved in that area to attend.

APPROVE _____

DISAPPROVE _____

4. Schedule periodic meetings with the Hispanic, Black and Women's Caucus to indicate continuing concern and support for minorities.

APPROVE _____

DISAPPROVE _____

5. Set aside 30 minutes on the daily schedule every day for "Legislative Discussion." This time would be used for necessary meetings with key members on developments on current legislation. It would also be used for Congressional telephone calls.

APPROVE _____

DISAPPROVE _____

6. Continue working luncheon meetings with key members on issues, such as the Burton lunch.

APPROVE _____

DISAPPROVE _____

Frank -
96
J

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 29, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: FRANK MOORE
FROM: DAN TATE *DT*
SUBJECT: The President's meeting with Senators

The President wants to meet with more Senators and to do so in ways which he has not tried before.

The advisability of his meeting with Senators on Capitol Hill is questionable. While not unprecedented, it is certainly rare. The danger is that such a meeting could become a media event featuring the President -- an unacceptable development for the Senators, whose thunder would be stolen on their own turf, and for the President, who would have alienated the very people he was intending to court. Few in the Senate want the President to bring his road show to the Hill.

Security considerations make visits with Senators outside the White House complex impractical for the most part. Too much time, expense, and effort are involved for the results to be gained.

Instead, the President should continue inviting Senators to the White House, but should also consider conducting some of these meetings in a more informal atmosphere. These could be ad hoc, late afternoon gatherings arranged a day or so in advance. The gathering should be small -- from 3 to 10 Senators -- and outside the traditional working area of the White House, perhaps upstairs. The announced purpose would be simply to have appropriate afternoon refreshments and to sit down and discuss whatever is on the minds of the President and his guests. There should be no formal structure or agenda (except in the President's head) and the President's points could be made subtly and in a low-key manner. The Senators leave flattered that the President asked them down for the purpose of getting to know them better, not merely to talk business.

In addition, such meetings circumvent the Byrd Rule -- that the President must not bring Democratic Senators to the White House to discuss policy matters without also inviting the Majority Leader or getting his prior approval.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Frank Moore

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox. It is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.

Rick Hutcheson

Re: Votes on Reorganization

*Frank. Who
voted NO ?
J*

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

MARCH 29, 1977
5:00 P.M.

MR. PRESIDENT

FRANK MOORE CALLED.

DEMOCRATIC VOTES FOR	
REORGANIZATION	266
REPUBLICANS FOR	<u>129</u>
TOTAL	395

12 VOTED AGAINST (12 Democrats
and 10 Republicans)

NELL

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI
	MONDALE
	COSTANZA
	EIZENSTAT
	JORDAN
	LIPSHUTZ
X	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON

	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
X	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

	ENROLLED BILL
	AGENCY BILL
	Staffing comments should go to Bert Carp within 48 hours; due from Carp to Staff Secretary next day.

	CAB DECISION
	EXECUTIVE ORDER
	Staffing comments should go to Doug Huron within 48 hours; due from Huron to Staff Secretary next day.

ACTION	FYI
	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
	KING
	KRAFT
	LANCE
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
	WELLS
	VOORDE

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Hamilton Jordan -

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox. It is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.

Rick Hutcheson

Re: Chief Counsel of the IRS
Stuart Seigel

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI
	MONDALE
	COSTANZA
	EIZENSTAT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	JORDAN
	LIPSHUTZ
	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON

	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

	ENROLLED BILL
	AGENCY BILL
	Staffing comments should go to Bert Carp within 48 hours; due from Carp to Staff Secretary next day.

	CAB DECISION
	EXECUTIVE ORDER
	Staffing comments should go to Doug Huron within 48 hours; due from Huron to Staff Secretary next day.

ACTION	FYI
	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
	KING
	KRAFT
	LANCE
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
	WELLS
	VOORDE

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 28, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: HAMILTON JORDAN *HJ*
SUBJECT: CHIEF COUNSEL OF THE IRS

In the attached memorandum Mike Blumenthal recommends the appointment of Mr. Stuart Seigel as Chief Counsel of the Internal Revenue Service.

Seigel comes highly recommended from a variety of sources. I recommend you approve his appointment for this position.

APPROVE ✓

DISAPPROVE _____

DISCUSS _____

HJ

Attachment

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes



THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY
WASHINGTON

MAR 24 1977

MEMORANDUM TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: CHIEF COUNSEL OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Attached is a resume on Mr. Stuart Seigel, whom I am recommending to you for appointment as Chief Counsel of the Internal Revenue Service.

I have worked closely on this appointment with Jerry Kurtz (Commissioner of Internal Revenue - Designate) and Larry Woodworth. He is their first choice for this job which is important because he must work closely with them.

As you can see from the resume, Mr. Seigel has had previous experience in both the Treasury and the Chief Counsel's office of the Internal Revenue Service. He is a principal partner of Sheldon Cohen and is strongly endorsed by him. I believe he is an intelligent and practical person who will help simplify our regulations and rulings. He will make a good member of the tax team.

W. Michael Blumenthal

Attachment

STUART E. SEIGEL

Home Address: 3001 - 44th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20016
(202) 244-8449

Business Address: 1775 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 293-4740

Date and Place of Birth: March 25, 1933; New York, N.Y.

Marital Status: Married; 3 children

Bar Memberships: District of Columbia
State of New York
United States Supreme Court
United States Court of Claims
United States Tax Court

Education: Georgetown University
Master of Laws in Taxation - 1960

New York University
Bachelor of Laws - 1957
Associate Editor, New York
University Law Review

New York University
Bachelor of Science - 1953

Professional Experience: Partner, Cohen and Uretz
Washington, D.C., 1969 -

Office of Tax Legislative Counsel
United States Treasury Department
1965-1969; Associate Tax Legislative
Counsel, 1968-1969

Office of the Chief Counsel
Internal Revenue Service, 1957-1965;
Trial Attorney, Regional Counsel's
Office, Washington, D.C., 1962-1965

Professional Experience:
(continued)

Adjunct Professor of Law
Antioch School of Law, 1973 -

Professorial Lecturer
George Washington University School
of Law, 1970-1973

Professional Societies:

District of Columbia Bar, Member
Steering Committee, Taxation
Division, 1976 -

American Bar Association
Chairman, Tax Litigation Committee,
Section of Litigation, 1976 -

Member, Section of Taxation
Chairman, Subcommittee on Current
Developments, Committee on Real
Estate Tax Problems, 1973-1975

Chairman, Subcommittee on Private
Foundations, Committee on Exempt
Organizations, 1971-1973

Federal Bar Association

American Judicature Society

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

To the President -

I see where the
bond issue in Plains
failed although you cut
radio spots.

That will teach you
not to do any paid
media without using
a professional ad
person.

Jerry Keplham

RAFSHOON

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

3/30/77

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Frank Moore -

The attached was returned in the
President's outbox.

As requested, please arrange to
send a copy of Secretary Kreps
letter to Senator Durkin.

Rick Hutcheson

Re: Berlin Project

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI
	MONDALE
	COSTANZA
	EIZENSTAT
	JORDAN
	LIPSHUTZ
X	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON

*Durkin's
copy of
letter to Fm*

FOR STAFFING
FOR INFORMATION
FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

ENROLLED BILL
AGENCY BILL
Staffing comments should go to Bert Carp within 48 hours; due from Carp to Staff Secretary next day.

CAB DECISION
EXECUTIVE ORDER
Staffing comments should go to Doug Huron within 48 hours; due from Huron to Staff Secretary next day.

ACTION	FYI
	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
	KING
	KRAFT
	LANCE
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
	WELLS
	VOORDE

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 29, 1977

cc Durkin -
Frank deliver
J

MEMORANDUM TO:

THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

FRANK MOORE *FM*

Attached is the letter you requested from Secretary Kreps concerning the Berlin project.

**Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes**



THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE
Washington, D.C. 20230

March 28, 1977

YOUR REQUEST HAS BEEN.


Dear Mr. President:

Pursuant to our conversation following your discussion with Senator Durkin, I will implement the following regarding the local public works project in Berlin, New Hampshire.

In developing regulations for the second round of the Local Public Works Program, the Department of Commerce will revise its procedures regarding the availability of local share in projects involving other Federal funds. With those revisions, Berlin's project will certainly be funded during the second round, which will begin shortly after enactment of the Local Public Works Bill of 1977. The Berlin project compares favorably with the other applications from New Hampshire that are competing for part of the State's \$30 million allocation.

Senators McIntyre and Durkin have presented valuable information regarding the merits of the Berlin project. That information has been helpful to this Department in making its determination as to the worthiness of the project.

Sincerely,


Juanita M. Kreps

The President
The White House
Washington, D. C. 20500

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes



THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

Stu Eizenstat

The attached was returned in
the President's outbox. It is
forwarded to you for appropriate
handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Bob Linder
Jim King

Re: Presidential Scholars
Commission

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

ACTION	FYI
	MONDALE
	COSTANZA
	X EIZENSTAT
	JORDAN
	LIPSHUTZ
	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON

FOR STAFFING
FOR INFORMATION
X FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

ENROLLED BILL
AGENCY BILL
Staffing comments should go to Bert Carp within 48 hours; due from Carp to Staff Secretary next day.

CAB DECISION
EXECUTIVE ORDER
Staffing comments should go to Doug Huron within 48 hours; due from Huron to Staff Secretary next day.

ACTION	FYI
	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
	KING
	KRAFT
	LANCE
	X LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
	WELLS
	VOORDE

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 25, 1977

MEMORANDUM TO:

THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

STU EIZENSTAT
FRANK RAINES

Stu

SUBJECT:

Presidential Scholars Commission

The Commission on Presidential Scholars has requested permission to use your signature on the medallion which is presented annually to 121 outstanding high school graduates.

The Program was established by President Lyndon Johnson by executive order in 1964 (E.O. 11155). The idea was to recognize scholarly achievement by high school students. The commission is composed of public members appointed by the President to indefinite terms, plus the Teacher of the Year. The commission generally meets only twice a year and the members serve without compensation. The students selected receive a trip to Washington and the medallion. The program costs about \$125,000 per year. The medallions have often been awarded by the President.

The Presidential Scholars are chosen by the commission after an initial screening by the Educational Testing Service. Academic achievement and leadership activities are the primary criteria for selection. The commission is currently in the final stages of its selection process for this year. One thousand students have already been notified that they are under consideration. Final selections are due to be made on April 15.

The Commission currently has 30 members, far more than are needed. Eleven new members were appointed by President Ford just before January 20. The staff of the commission has asked those persons to submit their resignations. Additional resignations can be sought and the personnel office indicates that it can identify candidates for you to appoint in short order.

The first issue for you to decide is whether to permit the use of your signature for this year's program. Second, is whether to appoint at least some new members to the commission.

The Presidential Scholars program seems to be a worthwhile endeavor. Terminating the program might be criticized, particularly if it were done now, after the candidates have already been notified. Appointing new members to the commission, on the other hand, might be seen as a complete endorsement which would commit us to continue the program in future years.

We recommend that you authorize the use of your signature for this year. The commission would then be reviewed as part of the overall review of commissions and councils. We also recommend that no new members be appointed. The commission will meet only one more time this year. After the presentation to the scholars the resignation of the members can be obtained.

Decision

1. Authorize use of signature.

Approve

✓

Disapprove

2. Do not appoint new members.

Approve

✓

Disapprove

J. C.

**Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes**

xc: Bert
I believe you dictated this memo referred to -- "overstaff" will do some research."
14 Mar 77 *J*

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 14, 1977

Stu Eizenstat -

Re: Use of Presidential Signature
on Presidential Scholar
Medallion

The President reviewed the request of the Commission on Presidential Scholars to use a facsimile of his signature on the Presidential Scholar Medallion for 1977 and made the following notation:

"Never heard of them - Don't know if it's advisable."

When your staff completes the research on this request, please prepare a recommendation to go forward to the President. Thanks.

Rick Hutcheson

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

March 30, 1977

The Vice President
Secretary Bergland
Stu Eizenstat
Jack Watson
Bert Lance

The attached was returned in the President's
outbox and is forwarded to you for your information
and appropriate action.

Rick Hutcheson

Re; Letter from Governor Exon of Nebraska

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

*cc Bergland
Secy*

ACTION	FYI	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MONDALE
		COSTANZA
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EIZENSTAT
		JORDAN
		LIPSHUTZ
		MOORE
		POWELL
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WATSON

FOR STAFFING
FOR INFORMATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

ENROLLED BILL
AGENCY BILL
Staffing comments should go to Bert Carp within 48 hours; due from Carp to Staff Secretary next day.

CAB DECISION
EXECUTIVE ORDER
Staffing comments should go to Doug Huron within 48 hours; due from Huron to Staff Secretary next day.

ACTION	FYI	
		ARAGON
		BOURNE
		BRZEZINSKI
		BUTLER
		CARP
		H. CARTER
		CLOUGH
		FALLOWS
		FIRST LADY
		GAMMILL
		HARDEN
		HOYT
		HUTCHESON
		JAGODA
		KING
		KRAFT
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LANCE
		LINDER
		MITCHELL
		POSTON
		PRESS
		B. RAINWATER
		SCHLESINGER
		SCHNEIDERS
		SCHULTZE
		SIEGEL
		SMITH
		WELLS
		VOORDE

J. JAMES EXON
GOVERNOR

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN. *C*



STATE OF NEBRASKA

LINCOLN 68509

March 30, 1977

The President of the United States
The White House
Washington, D. C.

The Honorable Bob Bergland
Secretary of Agriculture
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. President and Mr. Secretary:

I respectfully recommend for immediate action affecting grain on hand:

1. Extend for two months from March 31, 1977 to May 30, 1977 loan availability time for wheat signup. This will coincide with date of May 30, 1977 for corn signup. *2B possible*
2. Increase loan rates on wheat from current \$2.25 to \$2.50 and corn from current \$1.50 to \$2.00 as minimums. *?*
3. Accelerate facilities storage on farms by decreasing down payment from 30% to 15% and raise loan limits per farm operator from current limit of \$25,000 to \$50,000 with appeal provisions for higher loan limit in justifiable exceptions. *ok OMB*
4. Reduction of beef import quotas now in effect based on 1964 Beef Import Act. *Exports rising*

With regard to the new Agriculture Act proposed by the administration, I suggest at least the following changes.


1. Upgrade loan prices on wheat to at least \$2.50 per bushel from the \$2.25 proposal and a target price of \$2.95 as opposed to the recommended \$2.60.
2. Upgrade loan rates on corn from the proposed \$2.00 to at least \$2.10 and the target price to at least \$2.25. *Too ok*
3. Other related crops should be raised accordingly.

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes

The President of the United States
The Honorable Bob Bergland
March 30, 1977
2

4. There should be provided some flexibility in the new farm bill for the Secretary to have the option to reduce planted acres and/or total production if production rises significantly in the 1977 crop or succeeding years. We must have a program that reasonably addresses cost of production while at the same time does not allow overproduction of agricultural products.

Very truly yours,



J. James Exon
Governor

JJE:fw

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 29, 1977

MEMORANDUM TO: THE PRESIDENT
FROM: Jack Watson *Jack*
SUBJECT: MEETING WITH GOVERNOR
JAMES EXON OF NEBRASKA
PRESENT: Secretary Bob Bergland
Jack Watson
DAY & TIME: Wednesday, March 30, 1977
11:45 a.m. - 15 minutes

* * * * *

You are already familiar with Governor Exon's concerns about the Administration's Farm Bill. Governor Exon is here not only as Governor of a major farm state, but specifically as Chairman of the National Governors Conference Committee on Agriculture. I suspect that he will make some or all of the following points:

(1) The support price on corn is generally adequate, but the loan rate on wheat should be higher. The present rate of \$2.25 per bushel was established by Nixon in 1971. Governor Exon thinks that the loan rate should be at least \$2.50 a bushel.

(2) According to Governor Exon, "there is literally no cash in the farm economy in the West." The banks have loaned to their limits, and there is simply no financing for the farmers. This situation has, of course, been severely compounded by the last two years of drought.

Governor Exon has already had extensive conversations with Bob Bergland. Bob and I think that Governor Exon has requested the meeting with you as much for political purposes as for a substantive one. He is absolutely sincere in his substantive comments about the Farm Bill, but, in addition, is taking a beating from the Republicans because of our "insensitivity" to the plight of the farmers. I think it is fair to say that Governor Exon primarily wants an opportunity to be heard.

Electrostatic Copy Made
for Preservation Purposes



DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Washington, D.C. 20520

March 14, 1977

CONFIDENTIALMEMORANDUM FOR DR. ZBIGNIEW BRZEZINSKI
THE WHITE HOUSESubject: Suggested Guest List for White House Dinner
in Honor of President Sadat of Egypt

Attached is a suggested guest list for the President's dinner in honor of President Sadat of the Arab Republic of Egypt to be given April 4 at the White House. We are suggesting a small working dinner along the lines of the dinner given Prime Minister Rabin. There is no proposed participation outside the Government. It should be kept in mind that the Egyptians have not yet given us a final list of the official party accompanying President Sadat.

Robert V. Ortiz
In C. Arthur Borg
Executive Secretary

Attachment:

As stated.

CONFIDENTIAL
GDS

DECLASSIFIED
Per: Pac Project
ESDN: NLC-126-6331-8
BY: *[Signature]* DATE 11/6/13

SUGGESTED GUEST LIST FOR
THE DINNER TO BE GIVEN
BY PRESIDENT CARTER
IN HONOR OF
PRESIDENT SADAT OF EGYPT
APRIL 4, 1977, THE WHITE HOUSE

OFFICIAL EGYPTIAN PARTY

President Mohamed Anwar el Sadat

Deputy Prime Minister and Minister
of Foreign Affairs Ismail Fahmy

Ambassador Ashraf A. Ghorbal
Ambassador to the United States

Hassan Kamel
Chief of the Presidential Cabinet
(with rank of Deputy Prime Minister)

Hamed el-Sayeh
Minister of Economy and Economic
Cooperation

US CABINET MEMBERS

Vice President Walter F. Mondale

Secretary of State Cyrus Vance

Secretary of Commerce Juanita Kreps

Secretary of Agriculture Bob S. Bergland

US Representative at the United Nations Andrew Young

National Security Council

Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski

Mr. William B. Quandt

Other White House Staff

Mr. Stuart Eizenstat

CONFIDENTIAL
GDS

DECLASSIFIED
Per: Pac Project
ESDN: NLC-12676-33-1-8
BY: Q NADA, DATE 1/16/13

Department of State

Mr. Warren D. Christopher
Deputy Secretary

Mr. Philip C. Habib
Under Secretary for Political Affairs

Ambassador Hermann Fr. Eilts
American Ambassador to Egypt

Mr. Alfred L. Atherton, Jr.
Assistant Secretary, Near East
and South Asian Affairs

Mr. Harold H. Saunders
Director, Intelligence and Research

Mr. Anthony Lake
Director, Policy Planning

Agency for International Development

Mr. John Gilligan
Administrator-designate

Congress

Senate

Senator John Sparkman

Senator George McGovern

Senator John Glenn

Senator Howard H. Baker, Jr.

Senator Charles McC. Mathias, Jr.

Representatives

Representative Clement J. Zablocki

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

3

Representative Jim Wright

Representative Walter Flowers

Representative George Danielson

Representative Albert H. Quie

Representative Margaret Hechler

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

file 3/30

(guest list
to 2 B)

Mr. President:

Mrs. Carter's comments:

She wonders why Lipshutz was invited. NSC thinks he ought to be invited because of his active interest in the Middle East.

She suggests that, if the discussions involve energy, Schlesinger should be invited. NSC, after talking with the Egyptian Ambassador, doubts that the energy question will come up.

Mrs. Carter asked why State and NSC have different lists of congressmen. After some discussions, State, NSC and Frank Moore are all agreed on the congressmen listed in Brzezinski's memo.

Mrs. Carter points out that State invites six officials from its department, while the NSC list includes only four State Dept. officials. She asks, "Can't we accomodate them?"

Maxie has also reviewed the NSC list, and concurs with it.

---Rick

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Date: March 25, 1977

MEMORANDUM

FOR ACTION:

The First Lady
Maxie Wells

FOR INFORMATION:

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

SUBJECT: Zbigniew Brzezinski memo re Suggested Guest List
for President Sadat Dinner.

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED
TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DAY: Tuesday

DATE: March 29, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

☒ Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

☐ I concur.

☐ No comment.

Please note other comments below:

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

Done: ZB memo re
 suggested Guest List
 for President Sadat
 THE WHITE HOUSE
 WASHINGTON Dinner

ACTION	FYI
	MONDALE
	COSTANZA
	EIZENSTAT
	JORDAN
	LIPSHUTZ
	MOORE
	POWELL
	WATSON


<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FOR STAFFING
	FOR INFORMATION
	FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX
	LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY
	IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND

	ENROLLED BILL
	AGENCY BILL
	Staffing comments should go to Bert Carp within 48 hours; due from Carp to Staff Secretary next day.

	CAB DECISION
	EXECUTIVE ORDER
	Staffing comments should go to Doug Huron within 48 hours; due from Huron to Staff Secretary next day.

ACTION	FYI
	ARAGON
	BOURNE
	BRZEZINSKI
	BUTLER
	CARP
	H. CARTER
	CLOUGH
	FALLOWS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FIRST LADY
	GAMMILL
	HARDEN
	HOYT
	HUTCHESON
	JAGODA
	KING
	KRAFT
	LANCE
	LINDER
	MITCHELL
	POSTON
	PRESS
	B. RAINWATER
	SCHLESINGER
	SCHNEIDERS
	SCHULTZE
	SIEGEL
	SMITH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WELLS
	VOORDE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ZBIGNIEW BRZEZINSKI 
SUBJECT: Suggested Guest List for President
Sadat Dinner

At Tab A is our suggested guest list for the working dinner to be held for President Sadat on the night of April 4th.

RECOMMENDATION:

That you approve the guest list proposed.

_____ Approve

_____ Other

President Sadat Dinner

Suggested Guest List

Official Egyptian Party

President Mohamed Anwar al-Sadat

Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Foreign Affairs
Ismail Fahmy

Ambassador Ashraf A. Ghorbal
Ambassador to the United States

Hamed el-Sayeh, Minister of Economy and Economic Cooperation

Hassan Kamel, Chief of the Presidential Cabinet (with rank
of Deputy Prime Minister)

(We anticipate up to seven additional members to be named later.)

U.S. Cabinet Members

Vice President Walter F. Mondale
Secretary of State Cyrus Vance
Secretary of Commerce Juanita Kreps
US Representative at the United Nations Andrew Young
(Alternate: Secretary of Agriculture Bob S. Bergland)

National Security Council

Dr. Zbigniew Brzezinski
Dr. William B. Quandt

Other White House Staff

Mr. Robert Lipshutz
Mr. Stuart Eizenstat

Department of State

Mr. Warren D. Christopher
Deputy Secretary

Mr. Philip C. Habib
Under Secretary for Political Affairs

Ambassador Hermann Fr. Eilts
American Ambassador to Egypt

Mr. Alfred L. Atherton, Jr.
Assistant Secretary, Near East and South Asian Affairs

Agency for International Development

Mr. John Gilligan, Administrator-designate

Senate

Hon. Robert C. Byrd, Majority Leader
Hon. John Sparkman
Hon. Richard Stone
Hon. George McGovern
Hon. Howard H. Baker
(Alternates: Hon. John Glenn, Hon. Charles Mathias)

House of Representatives

Hon. Thomas P. O'Neill, Speaker of the House
Hon. Clement J. Zablocki
Hon. Lee H. Hamilton
Hon. George Danielson
Hon. Albert H. Quie
Hon. Margaret Heckler
Hon. John D. Dingell
(Alternates: Hon. Jim Wright, Hon. Walter Flowers)

Date: March 25, 1977

MEMORANDUM

FOR ACTION:

The First Lady
Maxie Wells

FOR INFORMATION:

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

SUBJECT: Zbigniew Brzezinski memo re Suggested Guest List
for President Sadat Dinner.

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED
TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DAY: Tuesday

DATE: March 29, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

☒ Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

☐ I concur.

☐ No comment.

Please note other comments below:

*I don't know why State & NSC Congresspersons
are different. Also State wanted more of their
persons attending. Can't we accommodate them?
Does this have nothing to do with energy?
If it has I think Schlesinger should be
invited.*

*(First Lady's
handwritten
comment)*

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required
material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

MEMORANDUM

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

TO: Rick Hutcheson
FROM: Maxie Wells
DATE: March 28, 1977
RE: Comments on Sadat Guest List

Per Mrs. Carter's comments:

1) The reason State & NSC lists are different:

State submitted the ~~attached~~ list; when it came to NSC for sign-off, NSC felt that State had missed the boat on Congressional people to be invited. NSC proposed a new list, had it approved by Frank Moore, and checked back with State, which said fine.

The reason State's list was off-balance was that State was trying to spread invitations around to varied Members; NSC and Congressional Liaison don't feel that this is necessary on working dinners and that it is in fact more appropriate to invite Members based on committee assignments.

NSC left State's list so that the President might have the benefit of both lists. After all these sign-offs on the new NSC list, my recommendation is that you only submit that list to the President for approval.

2) Schlesinger invitation:

Although NSC feels that Mrs. Carter's suggestion about Schlesinger is well made, NSC has talked with the Egyptian Ambassador and doubts that the energy question will come up.

3) Lipshutz:

NSC thinks that he ought to be given the option of attending because of his active interest in the Middle East, particularly the Palestinian question. NSC also thinks it would be a good symbolic move to invite him.

Date: March 25, 1977

MEMORANDUM

FOR ACTION:

The First Lady
Maxie Wells

FOR INFORMATION:

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

SUBJECT: Zbigniew Brzezinski memo re Suggested Guest List
for President Sadat Dinner.

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED
TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 10:00 A.M.

DAY: Tuesday

DATE: March 29, 1977

ACTION REQUESTED:

☒ Your comments

Other:

STAFF RESPONSE:

☐ I concur.

☐ No comment.

Please note other comments below:

*I don't know why State & NSC Congresspersons
are different. Also State wanted more of their
persons attending. Can't we accommodate them?
Does this have nothing to do with energy?
If it has I think Schlesinger should be
invited.*

PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)